
MEMORANDUM

P.O. Box 600
State University, AR 72467-0600

April 19, 2012

To: Ms. Angela Daniels, Chair
Shared Governance Oversight Committee

From: Dan Howard
Interim Chancellor

Re: Distinguished Professor Policy

Phone:
870-972-3030

Fax:
870-972-3465

www.astate.edu

Jonesboro, Arkansas

I received the following proposal from the Shared Governance Oversight Committee (SGOC). Pursuant to the governing language contained in the Faculty Handbook, I have reviewed the proposal carefully, consulted with other parties as appropriate, and hereby document my response:

- Proposal 11FA-18, Proposal for Distinguished Professor Policy. The SGOC met on October 10, 2011, to decide the disposition of the proposal. The SGOC determined that the proposal should receive full review under the direction of the University Promotion, Retention, & Tenure Committee. Set to review the proposal were the Faculty Senate, Deans Council and Chairs Council. Following review, the constituency groups indicated that the Chairs Council and Deans Council rejected the proposal. Faculty Senate was silent.

Response: I am in support of the position taken in this matter by the Chairs Council and Deans Council, which rejected the proposed Distinguished Professor Policy.

Pursuant to the Shared Governance Proposal Review Process, the campus community will be informed of my decision, which will be done through the ASU Daily Digest. Please accept my heartfelt appreciation for your leadership and extend my appreciation to the SGOC members for their active service to our university.



GDH

/mb

xc: Dr. Tim Hudson
Executive Council

MARILYN C BREWER

From: Angela Daniels
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2012 4:08 PM
To: Dan Howard
Cc: MARILYN C BREWER; SHERRY LYNN JOHNSON; THOMAS A. MOORE
Subject: Shared Governance Proposal 11FA-18 Distinguished Professor Policy
Attachments: 11FA18_Disposition[1].pdf; 11FA-18 Distinguished Professor final draft copy.pdf

TO: Dr. Dan Howard, Interim Chancellor ASU Jonesboro
FROM: Angela Daniels, Chair SGOC
DATE: April 13, 2012
RE: Shared Governance Proposal 11FA-18 Distinguished Professor Policy

The SGOC met on October 10, 2011 to decide the disposition for 11FA-18 Distinguished Professor Policy proposal. At that meeting it was felt that this was a shared governance issue and it should receive a full review under the direction of the ASU University Promotion, Retention, & Tenure Committee. The constituency groups set to review this proposal were the Faculty Senate, Dean's Council, and Chair's Council. The proposal was sent to the responsible committee and the constituency groups after the disposition meeting.

The response from the constituency groups indicated that the Chair's Council, and Dean's Council rejected the proposal. Faculty Senate was silent.

Respectfully submitted,

Angela J. Daniels



Angela J. Daniels
Student Affairs Technology Director

P.O. Box 189 | State University, AR 72467
870-972-2849 | Fax: 870-972-3406
angelad@astate.edu | <http://www.astate.edu/>

Shared Governance Oversight Committee

Disposition Form

Proposal: 11FA-18 Distinguished Professor Policy

Disposition Date: October 10, 2011

Is Proposal a SGOC Issue? Yes No

Responsible Assigned Committee: University Promotion, Retention, & Tenure Committee

Type of Review:

Expedited

Full

Extended

Handbook Issue: Yes No

Constituency Groups:

Faculty Senate

Staff Senate

Dean's Council

Chair's Council

SGA

GSC

Vice Chancellor(s)

Other Committees:

Draft Policy Distinguished Professor (11/9/11)

I. Policy Statement

The title of “Distinguished Professor” is the most prestigious honor bestowed on faculty members by Arkansas State University. A distinguished professor at Arkansas State University is one who has demonstrated outstanding accomplishment in a discipline and a reputation of excellence that is recognized internationally. However, an exemplary scholarly record in itself is not adequate to merit this recognition; a pre-eminent ability to teach must always be a key component of qualifying for this distinction. Conferring the title of distinguished professor requires a rigorous academic review and appointment by the Board of Trustees.

II. Criteria

Candidates recommended for a distinguished professorship must demonstrate accomplishments in accordance with the following criteria:

1. A record of distinguished performance in research or creative work;
2. A record of distinguished performance in both teaching and supervision of individual learning; and
3. A record of distinguished service to the profession and to ASU-Jonesboro.

III. Explanation of Criteria

1. A record of distinguished performance in research or creative work. The professor's work has received national and international recognition and the professor has had a major impact by changing or greatly influencing the direction of his/her field. A significant amount of that work must have been done during the professor's tenure at ASU-Jonesboro.

Ways to demonstrate merit may include but are not limited to:

- a. Memberships, awards, prizes, and fellowships that indicate that the nominee is considered a leading member of the discipline by her/his peers. Examples of honors at the national level are book awards, a Pulitzer Prize, or fellowships like a Guggenheim or MacArthur. For example, in the natural sciences and engineering, a typical indicator of merit might include membership in the National Academy of Science or the National Academy of Engineering. Awards and prizes within a particular subfield may also be presented.
- b. Publication in journals or presses rated at the top of that field and citations to those articles; for artists, exhibitions or performances in prestigious venues and reviews of those performances/exhibitions.

c. If applicable to the field, extramural funding or grants.

2. A record of distinguished performance in both teaching and supervision of individual learning. The latter may include undergraduate research and independent study, graduate research, theses, dissertations, clinical education and mentoring. Former students demonstrate the impact of this professor's teaching/supervision through their own accomplishments.

Ways to demonstrate merit:

a. Awards or prizes for teaching achievements, or outstanding student evaluations.

b. Some or all of the following: development of new courses; development of new clinical techniques; curriculum revision; interdisciplinary teaching; or larger projects to improve pedagogy; innovative syllabi; websites; or other instructional materials, teaching-related grants.

c. A description of the professional accomplishments of former undergraduate and/or graduate or professional students supervised by the nominee, including their current occupation and position.

3. A record of outstanding service to the profession and to ASU.

Ways to demonstrate merit:

Evidence of excellent performance in the faculty member's department/unit or college and national stature in his or her discipline or field.

a. Documentation of the impact of the nominee's leadership at all levels (Department, College, and University) on the ASU campus.

b. Evidence of service to discipline, particularly leadership roles.

4. Before being nominated, candidates must have held a ~~tenure-track tenured~~ faculty position for at least 5 full academic years at ASU-Jonesboro.

Formatted: Strikethrough

5. Candidates for Distinguished Professor must currently hold the rank of Full Professor.

IV. Procedures and Guidelines

A. Nominations

Candidates for the title of Distinguished Professor must be nominated by their peers (faculty at ASU or from other institutions).

- B. The letter of nomination should make clear to people in other disciplines the standards and measures of excellence used within this field. What constitutes a distinguished record or what specific accomplishments indicate that the nominee is at the top of her/his field should be clearly described. This is especially important in professional and performance-based fields.

If the nominee's record includes grants with multiple investigators or publications with multiple authors, the letter should explain his/her individual role. The letter may also clarify the forms or media through which scholars normally publish or present in this field, including the role of articles vs. books. The nomination letter should explain the status of such honors within the field.

- C. Required: letters from outstanding scholars or professionals in that field, normally senior people working at excellent institutions in this country and/or abroad. The letters should describe the nominee's standing in her/his area of specialization and in the broader field. Selection of external evaluators shall be undertaken by the home department of the candidate in consultation with the candidate. Nominees shall be given the opportunity to suggest possible evaluators and may also indicate specific scholars to exclude from consideration because their evaluations might be prejudiced against the candidate.

The nomination letter should explain if the nominee has not been able to train graduate students due to the nature of the field or program at ASU campus.

- D. Required: letters from former undergraduate, professional or graduate students, describing the impact of the nominee's teaching/supervision/mentoring upon their own careers. One-paragraph biographies may be submitted if necessary, but not full curriculum vitae. A maximum of 6 letters may be submitted.

A maximum of 6 letters will usually be sufficient, but in the case of a nominee who has contributed to multiple fields, as many as 8 letters may be submitted.

The nomination file should include one-paragraph biographies of the authors of these letters but not full curriculum vitae.

- E. Nominations will be submitted to the Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost Office. Nominations will then be reviewed by the University PRT Committee.
- F. Following review by the University PRT Committee and an interview with Distinguished Professor Candidates, recommendations will be submitted to the Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost. If all recommendations support the nomination to receive the title of Distinguished Professor, recommendation and supporting documentation will be submitted to the Chancellor for further review. Final approval for an award is required not only from the Chancellor, but also by the Board of Regents.

G. Call for nominations will be issued on September 15. Deadline for submission of nomination packets to the Office of the Executive Vice Chancellor and Provost is July 1 of the following year.

This Administrative Policy Statement will be reviewed periodically.

Suggested Compensation:

Formatted: Strikethrough

~~A \$25k discretionary research/scholarly activity fund/yr.~~

~~A salary increase 25-50%.~~

~~This document is binding for all faculty of ASU. Existing Distinguished Faculty are required to go through the application process as described above to be considered for the continuance of this title.~~

~~Original Wording~~

~~Once the Distinguished Professor policy is finalized and approved, all existing ASU Distinguished Professors must follow application procedures described above in order to comply with this policy.~~